

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/078,283	02/14/2002	Anthony Viole	FORFLOW.008CP1	4212
20995	7590 01/10/2006		EXAMINER	
	MARTENS OLSON	BOCKELMAN, MARK		
2040 MAIN FOURTEEN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA	A 92614		3766	

DATE MAILED: 01/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ĉ,				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/078,283	VIOLE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark W. Bockelman	3766				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA' 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	October 2005.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) TI	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,6-9 and 19-45</u> is/are pending in t	he application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4, 6-9, 19-45</u> are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requirement	•				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached C	mice Action of form PTO-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		ceived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure  * See the attached detailed Office action for a l		coived				
See the attached detailed Office action for a r	ist of the certified copies flot rec	Serveu.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum					
<ul> <li>2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/</li> </ul>		fail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	6) Other:					

## Election/Restrictions

In response to applicant's amendment of 10-18-2005, the examiner notes that most of the claims presented in applicant's amendment do not appear to be supported by the original specification or claims. The examiner finds no teaching of relative sizes of lumen cross sections as specified in claims 7, 8, 24 and finds no teaching of an embodiment having a redirecting tip attached to a lumen of a coaxial lumen as claimed in claim 6. The redirecting tip embodiments 8, 13, and 3 are side by side and not coaxial. The examiner requires the following election of species and requests applicant to particularly point out how the elected claims are supported by the elected species.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I - figure 1

Species II - figure 2

Species III - figure3

Species IV- figure 4

Species V - figure 8

Species VI - figures 9 and 10

Species VII - figures 11 and 12

Species VIII - figure 13

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is

Application/Control Number: 10/078,283

Art Unit: 3766

finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic. It also appears that no claim is supported by the original specification raising the issue of new matter.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/078,283

Art Unit: 3766

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark W. Bockelman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 10:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272 -6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**MWB** 

January 8, 2006